

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/957,470	09/21/2001	Naoki Yoshioka	010871	2597	
38834	7590 08/04/2005		EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			BUSHEY, CHARLES S		
SUITE 700	1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1724		
		DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/957,470	YOSHIOKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Bushey	1724	

	00/00/,1/0	1001110101217121					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott Bushey	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) M The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing daté of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	I16 and 41.33(a)).						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will be	ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>31</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>20-23</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>19 and 30</u> . Claim(s) with the consideration: <u>None</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will be	ot he entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
<ul> <li>. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).</li> <li>0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> </ul>							
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the property of the prop	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	nea.				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	1				
13. Other:		MATOS	8-2-05				
		Scott Bushey Primary Examiner					

Art Unit 1724

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New Issue: Claim 22, as amended by the amendment filed 7-22-05, now requires that the nozzle ring is "fixed to the vaporization section", which was not required by claim 22 as assessed at the time of the Final rejection.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 23 and 31 would be overcome in view of the arguments set forth in support thereof within the After Final amendment filed 7-22-05.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claim 19, applicant should note that the orifice member of Zhao et al, as discussed in the Final rejection, is clearly arranged adjacent the outlet end of the inner conduit (144) and thus satisfies applicant's broadly recited "end portion" of the internal conduit. Further, conduit (135) of the reference provided for carrier gas passage to the orifice member and thus allows for gas as supplied along a portion of the external conduit (in the recess portion 137 of the orifice member) to be spouted from the orifice member into the vaporization section through the gas thereof..